Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Zhong-Lu You<sup>a,b</sup> and Hai-Liang Zhu<sup>a,b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Fuyang Normal College, Fuyang Anhui 236041, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: hailiang\_zhu@163.com

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.037 wR factor = 0.101 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# (Nitrato- $\kappa$ O)[1-(pyridin-2-ylmethyliminomethyl)naphthalen-2-olato- $\kappa O$ ]copper(II)

The title compound, [Cu(C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)(NO<sub>3</sub>)], is a mononuclear copper(II) compound. The Cu atom is coordinated by two N atoms and one O atom from the Schiff base ligand, and by one O atom from a nitrate anion. The four atoms around the metal adopt a slightly distorted square-planar geometry.

# Accepted 2 July 2004 Online 9 July 2004

Received 1 July 2004

## Comment

Recently, we have reported a few Schiff base complexes (You, Lin et al., 2003; You, Qu et al., 2003; You, Xiong et al., 2004; You, Zhu & Liu, 2004). As an extension of our work on the structural characterization of Schiff base complexes, the title mononuclear copper(II) complex, (I), is reported here.



The structure of (I) (Fig. 1) contains a mononuclear copper(II) complex. The Cu atom is in a square-planar geometry and is four-coordinated by one O and two N atoms from the Schiff base ligand, and by one O atom from the coordinated nitrate anion. The four coordinating atoms around the Cu centre are approximately coplanar, giving a square-planar geometry with an average deviation of 0.006 (3) Å; the Cu atom lies 0.036 (2) Å above this plane.

The C11=N2 bond distance [1.287 (3) Å; Table 1] conforms to the value for a double bond, while the C12-N2 bond



© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

# Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.



**Figure 2** Crystal packing of (I), viewed along the *b* axis.

distance [1.465 (3) Å] conforms to the value for a single bond. The Cu1–O1 bond length [1.875 (2) Å] is a little shorter than the value [1.889 (2) Å] observed in another Schiff base complex (You, Chen *et al.*, 2004). The Cu1–N2 bond distance [1.916 (2) Å] is also a little shorter than the value [1.927 (3) Å]observed in the same complex. The Cu1–N1 and Cu1–O2 distances are also comparable to the values found in most copper(II) complexes (Butcher *et al.*, 2003). The bond angles around the Cu<sup>II</sup> centre show some deviations from ideal square-planar geometry.

## **Experimental**

All chemicals used (reagent grade) were commercially available. 2-Hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde (0.1 mmol, 17.2 mg) and 2-aminomethylpyridine (0.1 mmol, 10.8 mg) were dissolved in methanol (10 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h to give a clear yellow solution of HL, where HL is 1-(pyridin-2-ylmethyliminomethyl)naphthalen-2-ol. To the solution of HL was added a methanol solution (10 ml) of Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mmol, 26.0 mg), with stirring. The mixture was stirred for another 1 h at room temperature and then filtered. After keeping the filtrate in air for 8 d, blue block-shaped crystals were formed at the bottom of the vessel on slow evaporation of the solvent. The crystals were isolated, washed three times with methanol and dried in a vacuum desiccator using anhydrous CaCl<sub>2</sub> (yield 69.3%). Analysis found: C 52.6, H 3.6, N 10.7%; calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>CuN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 52.8, H 3.4, N 10.9%.

Crystal data

$M_r = 386.84$ Mo K $\alpha$ radiation         Monoclinic, $P_{2_1}/c$ Cell parameters from 226 $a = 15.093$ (3) Å       reflections $b = 7.394$ (2) Å $\theta = 2.7-25.2^{\circ}$ $c = 15.062$ (3) Å $\mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 112.766$ (3)° $T = 273$ (2) K $V = 1550.0$ (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Block, blue $Z = 4$ 0.32 × 0.28 × 0.23 mm	$[Cu(C_{17}H_{13}N_{3}O_{4})(NO_{3})]$	$D_x = 1.658 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Cell parameters from 226 $a = 15.093$ (3) Å       reflections $b = 7.394$ (2) Å $\theta = 2.7-25.2^{\circ}$ $c = 15.062$ (3) Å $\mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 112.766$ (3)° $T = 273$ (2) K $V = 1550.0$ (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Block, blue $Z = 4$ $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$	$M_r = 386.84$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 15.093$ (3) Å       reflections $b = 7.394$ (2) Å $\theta = 2.7-25.2^{\circ}$ $c = 15.062$ (3) Å $\mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 112.766$ (3)° $T = 273$ (2) K $V = 1550.0$ (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Block, blue $Z = 4$ $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 2267
$ b = 7.394 (2) \text{ Å} \qquad \qquad \theta = 2.7-25.2^{\circ} \\ c = 15.062 (3) \text{ Å} \qquad \qquad \mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ \beta = 112.766 (3)^{\circ} \qquad \qquad T = 273 (2) \text{ K} \\ V = 1550.0 (6) \text{ Å}^3 \qquad \qquad \text{Block, blue} \\ Z = 4 \qquad \qquad 0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23 \text{ mm} $	a = 15.093 (3) Å	reflections
$c = 15.062$ (3) Å $\mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 112.766$ (3)° $T = 273$ (2) K $V = 1550.0$ (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Block, blue $Z = 4$ $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$	b = 7.394(2) Å	$\theta = 2.7 - 25.2^{\circ}$
$ \begin{array}{ll} \beta = 112.766~(3)^{\circ} & T = 273~(2)~{\rm K} \\ V = 1550.0~(6)~{\rm \AA}^3 & {\rm Block,~blue} \\ Z = 4 & 0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23~{\rm mm} \end{array} $	c = 15.062 (3) Å	$\mu = 1.44 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 1550.0$ (6) Å <sup>3</sup> Block, blue $Z = 4$ $0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23$ mm	$\beta = 112.766 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 273 (2) K
Z = 4 0.32 × 0.28 × 0.23 mm	V = 1550.0 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	Block, blue
	Z = 4	$0.32 \times 0.28 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$

### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	3176 independent reflections 2523 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.656, T_{max} = 0.733$ 8707 measured reflections	$\theta_{\text{max}}^{\text{m}} = 26.5^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.101$ S = 1.01 3176 reflections 226 parameters H-atom parameters constrained	$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o{}^2) + (0.0531P)^2 \\ &+ 0.2644P] \\ &\text{where } P = (F_o{}^2 + 2F_c{}^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}{}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}{}^{-3} \end{split}$

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-O1	1.875 (2)	Cu1-N1	1.979 (2)
Cu1-N2	1.916 (2)	Cu1-O2	2.011 (2)
O1-Cu1-N2	93.07 (8)	O1-Cu1-O2	88.59 (8)
O1-Cu1-N1	176.24 (8)	N2-Cu1-O2	177.02 (9)
N2-Cu1-N1	83.60 (9)	N1-Cu1-O2	94.65 (9)

All H atoms were placed in idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H distances in the range 0.93–0.97 Å and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors thank the Education Office of Anhui Province, People's Republic of China, for research grant No. 2004kj300zd.

### References

- Butcher, R. J., Mockler, G. M. & McKern, O. (2003). Acta Cryst. E**59**, m1104–m1106.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997*a*). *SHELXS*97 and *SHELXL*97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- You, Z.-L., Chen, B., Zhu, H.-L. & Liu, W.-S. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m884– m886.
- You, Z.-L., Lin, Y.-S., Tan, M.-Y. & Zhu, H.-L. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m1025– m1027.
- You, Z.-L., Qu, Y., Liu, W.-S., Tan, M.-Y. & Zhu, H.-L. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m1038–m1040.
- You, Z.-L., Xiong, Z.-D., Liu, W.-S., Tan, M.-Y. & Zhu, H.-L. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m79–m81.
- You, Z.-L., Zhu, H.-L. & Liu, W.-S. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m560-m562.